



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,892	08/27/2002	Thomas M. Breuel	111744	3616
27074 75	90 10/26/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928			PAULA, CESAR B	
ALEXANDRIA	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
·			2178	

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/064,892	BREUEL ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CESAR B. PAULA	2178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul>						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-16 and 18-28</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	it hafara ar an tha data of filing a N	ation of Annual will po	at he entered			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	s necessary and			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 of PTO-1449) Paper N					
		CESAR B PAULA Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2178				

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicants notes that Formanek fails to teach the newly amended limitation (pages 7-10). This amendment requires further search and/or consideration to determine whether it overcomes formanek.

PRIMARY EYAMANED